

Guidance for Internal Auditors to use in their Annual Internal Audit of HE Data Systems and Processes

Date: 11 February 2026

Reference: Medr/2026/06

To: Heads of higher education institutions in Wales

Principals of further education institutions in Wales funded by Medr for higher education provision

Internal auditors of higher education institutions and further education institutions in Wales funded by Medr for higher education provision

Respond by: 10 July 2026

More information: Rachael Clifford | hestats@medr.cymru

Summary

This publication provides guidance for internal auditors to use in their annual internal audit of HE data systems and processes.

Introduction

1. This publication provides guidance to the internal auditors of higher education institutions (HEIs) and further education institutions (FEIs) funded by Medr for higher education provision referred to throughout as higher education providers (HEPs) to use for their annual internal audit of the internal controls relating to the systems and processes in place to produce higher education (HE) data returns, and requests a copy of this internal audit report for each HEP. Both HEFCW and Medr are referenced throughout this publication depending on historic or current data and processes.
2. Previously, external audits of higher education data were commissioned by HEFCW, prior to HEFCW becoming part of Medr, so that HEPs were externally audited at least once every four years. 2021/22 was the last year of the contract HEFCW had with external auditors to do this and so in Medr we are continuing with the interim process used last year in place of external audits until the audit process is reviewed.
3. For 2026 the process will involve members of the Medr Higher Education Statistics team meeting with data contacts at each HEP, to cover items such as previous audit findings, ongoing implementation of the new Higher Education Statistics Agency (HESA) Student record, and data quality. As part of this interim process, Medr will continue to rely on the annual assurance provided to HEPs and their Audit Committees by their internal auditors about the systems and processes used to produce data returns. Relying on the internal audits will maintain an adequate level of annual assurance in respect of HEPs' data returns.
4. The internal audit will provide an opinion as to the adequacy and effectiveness of the controls in place to manage the risks relating to the accuracy of data submitted by the HEP to HESA, Medr and Welsh Government (WG), including data used in calculations for the following funding streams:
 - Teaching funding (currently comprising per capita and premium funding and part-time (PT) undergraduate (UG) credit-based funding);
 - Research funding comprising Quality research (QR) funding and Postgraduate research (PGR) training funding;
 - Research Wales Innovation Funding (RWIF);
 - Medr's part-time undergraduate fee waiver scheme;
 - Well-being and mental health funding;
 - Race access and success funding;
 - Targeted employability support funding;
 - Wales Research Environment and Culture (WREC) funding;
 - Capital funding.

and the data used to monitor the following funding streams:

- Medr's part-time undergraduate fee waiver scheme;
- PGT Master's bursaries allocations;
- Medr funded Degree Apprenticeship scheme allocations.

5. The internal audit should also provide assurance over the controls in place to ensure the accuracy of data used in the monitoring of performance, including key performance indicators such as the National Measures, and if applicable, data included by HEPs as part of the fee and access plan reporting requirements.
6. This document provides guidance to the internal auditors about the nature of the controls that their audit should address, to assess whether the systems and processes are adequate to provide accurate data returns and data to use in funding and monitoring and also to ensure that internal audits taking place across the sector are carried out on a consistent basis.
7. If the internal audit report's overall conclusion, or the conclusions relating to the adequacy of the design of the methods of control and the application of those controls, provides a negative opinion (e.g. limited or no assurance, unsatisfactory or inadequate controls) and/or the report includes a significant number of recommendations, Medr should be notified as soon as the opinion has been agreed. Medr will then conduct their own assessment of the issue and/or commission their own external audit as appropriate. This external audit would consider the accuracy of data for the current period and also consider the findings of the internal auditor and aim to assess the extent of potential errors in the data returns and data used for funding and monitoring for prior periods up to the last external audit. The findings of this external audit may result in adjustments to funding and further action may be taken if HEPs are found to be not compliant with their fee and access plans, the supply-side code of practice for data collections or the financial management code.

Scope of the Audit

8. The way in which internal audit work and controls testing is carried out at each HEP will depend on the systems and controls in place and how information is shared within the HEP. However, it is expected that the internal audit work will cover the elements highlighted in this document. Where previous internal audit work has found that the systems and controls in place are satisfactory, it may be considered appropriate by the HEP's Audit Committee for subsequent audits to only cover areas of risk. In particular, due to the increased risks associated with the implementation of the HESA Data Futures programme in 2022/23, through 2023/24 and into 2024/25 collection, we would expect to see this area of work included in the scope.
9. The Data Futures programme was implemented for the 2022/23 HESA student record. There were difficulties with the return caused by delays to the functionality of the HESA Data Platform, late software updates, late supply of data quality rules by Jisc and other issues in its implementation year. In light of this, for the 2025 audit scope we didn't recommend that auditors examine the implementation of the new record for 2022/23 in depth, or the systems and process relating to the 2022/23 return, but rather provide opinions on the controls in place to manage risks relating to the record going forward including plans to review and/or improve processes, documentation and data quality moving into the 2023/24 return. Difficulties were also experienced in returning the 2023/24 student record and this may have meant that providers were not able to fully implement new processes and procedures for

their systems at that time. Difficulties were also experienced in returning the 2024/25 student record, however, providers are now moving towards more stable processes to make the return. We would expect auditors to take these difficulties into account in their work, and we expect auditors to include in the scope any updates applied to systems and processes, and to risk registers, after review of the 2022/23, 2023/24 and 2024/25 student data returns.

10. Auditors should ascertain the processes by which data returns and monitoring information are compiled and document them to the extent necessary to enable an evaluation to be made of the adequacy of the existing controls used by the HEP to ensure that they produce accurate data returns and appropriately compile monitoring data. Examples of the controls that the audit would normally be expected to assess are set out for all the current funding streams, data returns and other areas of audit in the sections below. Many of the controls are common to the data returns for all areas of audit. However, not all of the areas of audit apply to all HEPs, and auditors should refer to the relevant paragraphs.
11. Auditors should note that there are some areas where HEPs may have to return estimates, where information is not known at the time of return or information is not available in the required form. Estimates can be made using methods suggested by Medr in its guidance, or if appropriate, HEPs can use their own methods. Where estimates have been made, auditors should review the methods used to calculate them, confirm that they are properly documented, reasonable, consistently applied and tested for reliability.
12. If a HEP is in the process of merging or has recently merged with one or more other HEPs, the auditor should ascertain if procedures have been put in place to integrate their data systems or otherwise ensure that returns for the whole merged HEP can be made.
13. In planning the audit, the Auditor should consider the findings and conclusions of any external and/or internal audit reports relating to systems and data returns for the HEP and any follow up reports and correspondence with management to assess the extent of implementation of the reports' recommendations. It is expected that the audit reports will make reference to and comment upon the extent that recommendations made by auditors in the previous internal or external audit reports have been effectively implemented.
14. Additionally any data issues or errors notified either directly to Medr by the provider, or identified and communicated by Medr, should be referenced in the report together with any action taken to ensure that data systems and processes have been amended where appropriate to mitigate against any such errors in future. As explained in paragraph 9, there were difficulties with the implementation of the Data Futures programme. This led to multiple errors being flagged and tolerated or left open in the HESA student record issue management system (IMS) since 2022/23. We are not expecting auditors to review these errors, but would recommend any review for the HESA student record for the 2024/25 return focus instead on providers' plans to review these errors and any action they might take to improve systems and processes moving into future HESA student record returns.

15. It is recommended that internal audit staff with some experience of the HE sector and associated data returns are involved in the visits to HEPs undertaken as part of the review and that auditors are sufficiently briefed on the guidance contained within this publication prior to carrying out the audit. In addition, auditors should make themselves aware of the UK-wide issues experienced with the implementation of Data Futures in 2022/23 and any issues experienced by the provider for the 2023/24 and 2024/25 returns. Advice and clarification relating to the guidance in this publication can be obtained from Medr via hestats@medr.cymru, and Medr staff are available to meet with internal audit staff if required.
16. All HEFCW/Medr publications described below are available via the relevant links in this publication or can be obtained from Medr directly via hestats@medr.cymru.

Funding Methodology and Data Requirements

17. Medr publication [Medr/2025/06 Medr's funding allocations for academic year 2025/26](#) describes the overall funding distribution for academic year 2025/26 including:
 - PGR and QR funding (page 22)
 - RWIF (page 23)
 - Teaching funding (pages 23 to 28)Medr/2025/06 also includes funding which is further described in the following publications:
 - Higher education wellbeing and mental health funding ([Medr/2025/30](#))
 - [Part-time undergraduate fee waiver scheme](#)
 - Race equality in higher education allocations ([Medr/2025/13](#))
 - Targeted employability support for students ([Medr/2025/09](#))
18. Medr publication [Medr/2024/01 Higher Education Data Requirements 2024/25](#) informs HE providers of the 2023/24 data used to calculate funding allocations and used for monitoring purposes, as well as student eligibility criteria for:
 - Per capita funding (Annex A para 18)
 - Access and retention premium (Annex A para 21)
 - Disability premium (Annex A para 35)
 - Welsh medium premium (Annex A para 37)
 - Expensive subjects premium (Annex A para 42)
 - Higher cost subjects premium (Annex A para 47)
 - Research funding (Annex B)
 - Postgraduate research training funding (Annex C)
 - Medr's part-time undergraduate fee waiver scheme (Annex G)
 - Medr funded degree apprenticeship scheme funding (Annex J)
 - Research Wales Innovation Fund (RWIF) (Annex L)
 - Race equality funding and well-being and health funding (Annex M);
 - Targeted employability support allocations (Annex N);
19. Medr publication [Medr/2025/14 Higher Education Data Requirements 2025/26](#) informs HE providers of the data used to calculate funding allocations and used for monitoring purposes using 2024/25 HESA student record data.

20. Due to the implementation of HESA Data Futures, auditors should note the caveats included for data from 2022/23 to 2024/25, given the new nature of the data return, in paragraphs 3 and 4 of Medr/2025/14, and our expectations about audit of the systems and processes for the 2024/25 HESA student data return described in paragraphs 9 to 14 of this publication.
21. Annex A of this publication contains an outline of the methodology used to calculate the formula driven elements of credit based funding for teaching, RWIF, PGR training funding and research funding.
22. Annex B contains the criteria for inclusion of data in the allocations of per capita, premium, PGR training funding, race equality funding, well-being and health funding and targeted employability support funding.
23. Annex C contains the eligibility criteria for data used in the calculation of the National Measures.
24. Annex D contains documentation supplied to HEPs to support Fee and Access Plan sign off.
25. Annex E contains a summary of recommendations from previous internal audits.

Teaching funding

26. 2025/26 teaching funding comprises:
 - Funding allocated through the credit based teaching funding method for part-time undergraduate taught provision;
 - Per capita funding for full-time and part-time taught provision;
 - Expensive subjects premium funding for full-time undergraduate provision;
 - Higher cost subjects premium for full-time undergraduate provision;
 - Access and retention premium funding for part-time undergraduate provision;
 - Disability premium for all modes and levels of study;
 - Welsh medium premium for part-time undergraduate provision and full-time undergraduate provision that qualifies for expensive subjects premium or higher cost subjects premium funding.
27. Funding allocated for part-time undergraduate provision through the credit based teaching funding method for 2025/26 was based on 2023/24 End of Year Monitoring of Higher Education Enrolments (EYM) credit value data extracted through the HESA Information Reporting Interface Service (IRIS) process. Medr publication [Medr/2024/00](#) details the 2023/24 EYM extraction process and mappings.
28. Adjustments to credit based teaching funding are normally calculated using EYM data extracted during the HESA IRIS process. The 2023/24 adjustment process has taken place and the data extracted is described in the 2023/24 EYM circular [Medr/2024/00](#). The latest data extraction is described in the 2024/25 EYM publication [Medr/2025/07](#) though the adjustments for 2024/25 have not yet been calculated.

29. Testing of the systems and processes used to generate figures returned on the Higher Education Students Early Statistics ([HESES](#)) survey and EYM data returned on the HESA student record and extracted via HESA IRIS should aim to answer the following questions:

- Is the latest Medr guidance being utilised and adhered to, in particular, have changes from the previous HESES surveys been noted and appropriately implemented?
- Are data on the records system validated (e.g. a comparison of a sample of enrolment forms with data on the system)?
- Is the method of extraction of data used to make a return to the HESES survey documented?
- Is there an adequate audit trail to confirm that the method of data extraction for the surveys is being applied as documented?
- Are details of any manual amendments to data extracted from the system for the HESES survey, or to EYM data extracted via HESA IRIS, documented, with justification and/or appropriate authorisation of the changes?
- Is a copy kept of the data taken from the system to make the return to the HESES survey?
- Is the final return to the HESES survey checked against data on the system prior to submission and is there adequate evidence of this checking process?
- Is the EYM data extraction provided through the HESA IRIS system checked against data on the HEP's internal system and is there evidence of this checking process prior to the data verifications being signed off?
- Is the verification approved and signed off by an appropriate person?
- Are the staff resources available, taking into consideration experience and expertise, adequate to ensure that the HESES survey returns are accurately prepared and the EYM extraction from the HESA IRIS system is thoroughly checked?
- Is the documentation of the system and staff resource sufficient to ensure that accurate data returns could be prepared even in the absence of some key staff?
- Is there a risk register in place and are the risks relating to the compilation of accurate data returns, and related controls to manage these risks, adequately assessed and documented together with details of planned action to be taken, where relevant, to strengthen the existing controls?
- Where errors were identified in HESES/EYM returns or sign-offs, by Medr or the HEP, have processes been implemented to address these data errors and to mitigate against errors in future returns and sign-offs?
- Are HESES survey returns scrutinised before submission by suitably experienced members of staff other than those compiling the return?
- Are EYM data extracted as part of the HESA IRIS system scrutinised before verification by suitably experienced members of staff other than those that compiled the HESA return?
- Is a summary report of the data returned presented to the HEP's senior management team (e.g. the total numbers of credits and students by mode and level with comparisons to prior years and/or other returns)?

- Is there a suitable process in place to ensure that staff who provide information (e.g. in departments) and staff compiling the return liaise as necessary to ensure that the most up to date information available relating to the survey period is included in the return?
- Is there evidence that validation and credibility checks are completed before returning or signing off data (e.g. scrutinising the credibility checks provided by Medr on the Excel spreadsheets; comparing EYM/HESES data against HESES returns made earlier in the academic year or in the previous academic year; use of control totals)?
- Has the Explanations worksheet in the EYM workbook been completed where year on year differences require explanations?
- Are there procedures for determining the fundability status of students and are checks made on fundability status (e.g. for students located outside Wales); and have the fundability rules contained in HESES been accounted for in the determination?
- Is the method for assigning Higher Education Classification of Subjects (HECoS) codes to modules and hence categorising credits into Academic Subject Categories (ASCs) documented and reasonable (for any data relating to 2019/20 onwards)?
- Is there an adequate audit trail to confirm that the method for categorising credits into ASCs is being applied as documented?
- Are processes used by HEPs to calculate estimates (e.g. non-completion rates) reasonable and documented, and is their reliability tested?
- Do processes ensure that evidence of enrolment and attendance available is complete and retained as part of the audit trail (e.g. enrolment forms, online enrolment records, module choice forms)?
- Are franchised out students correctly identified as such on the system, and recorded as such on the returns, and not, for example, as distance learning students (where distance learning students are those that are students of the reporting HEP, where staff employed by the reporting HEP are responsible for providing all teaching or supervision, but who are located away from the reporting HEP and are not part of a franchising arrangement with another HEP or organisation)?
- Are arrangements with franchise partners documented and are there controls in place to ensure that only the franchisor returns the provision?
- From 2024/25 HESES onwards, are degree apprentices on the Medr funded degree apprenticeship scheme recorded correctly both for enrolments and associated assumed completed credit values.
- If the HEP has recently been formed from a merger are the data systems in place sufficiently integrated to enable the HEP to make returns for the whole HEP and manage the process of validating and verifying data?

30. For 2025/26 funding, per capita and premium funding is based on data taken from the 2023/24 HESA student record (coding manuals and guidance are available on the HESA website – www.hesa.ac.uk). In looking at the above questions, in any analysis of student data, it is expected that that any in depth testing carried out would be on the systems and processes for 2023/24 data used for 2025/26 funding.

31. HESES data is not used in allocation of 2025/26 teaching funding, however it is required to monitor student recruitment and to provide early recruitment figures to

the Welsh Government and the Office for National Statistics. Additionally, from 2025/26 onwards, HESES data is used in allocation of in-year funding for degree apprentices on the Medr funded degree apprenticeship scheme. Testing will be similar to that of the systems and processes of the EYM extractions and as described in paragraph 29.

Data Requirements

32. The fields and criteria used to extract data from the records for 2025/26 funding and monitoring of funding are detailed in the Medr Higher Education Data Requirements publication [Medr/2024/01](#). The HESA student record data used in 2025/26 funding and monitoring of funding in the main is 2023/24.
33. In looking at the scope of the audit, in any analysis of student data and the associated systems and processes, including the suggested testing below, it is expected that auditors will look at 2024/25 HESA student record data submission, using guidance included in paragraphs 9 and 14.
34. Testing of the systems and processes used to make these returns should aim to answer the following questions:

HESA student record:

- Do the controls include quality checks on individualised data prior to submission to HESA, in particular for data fields used in funding (e.g. checks that home postcodes have been correctly transcribed; HECoS codes are correctly assigned; fundability status is correct; year of student is correct; those in receipt of disabled students' allowance (DSA) are recorded as such)?
- Where errors were identified in prior returns, by HEFCW/Medr, HESA or the HEP, through audit, in Medr/HEFCW data quality meetings or otherwise, particularly those which led to reductions in funding, have processes been implemented to address these data errors and to mitigate against errors in future returns?
- Have any issues that have been raised via the HESA Issue Management System (IMS), including issues that have been left open, and any associated targets applied been collated and considered to make improvements in future data submissions?
- Where errors have been identified in prior returns, are the relevant data checked prior to final submission of data to HESA to confirm that the error has not reoccurred?
- Is there evidence that the web reports and IRIS output, produced by the HESA data returns system after committing data, are scrutinised, and that any resulting issues are addressed?
- Has a review of the implementation of HESA Data Futures been carried out and any updates to systems or processes been actioned along with any associated changes to risk registers?
- Is a copy kept of the final data submitted to HESA?
- Is the method used to calculate the proportion of a module taught through the medium of Welsh documented, reasonable and consistently applied?

- Are any manual amendments made by Medr to exclude Welsh medium modules checked to confirm they have been correctly excluded?
- Are any changes made to include additional information requested, or manual amendments made to the Medr funded Degree Apprenticeship scheme monitoring extracts, checked to confirm they are accurate and adjusted totals are correct?
- Are any manual amendments made by the provider to the monitoring returns output from IRIS for the part-time fee waiver and PGT Master's bursaries documented and scrutinised before sign-off?
- Are the staff resources available, taking into consideration experience and expertise, adequate to ensure that the data returns are accurately prepared?
- Is the documentation of the system and processes and the staff resource sufficient to ensure that accurate data returns could be prepared even in the absence of some key staff?
- Is there a risk register in place and are the risks relating to the compilation of accurate data returns, and related controls to manage these risks, adequately assessed and documented together with details of planned action to be taken, where relevant, to strengthen the existing controls?
- Are returns scrutinised before submission by suitably experienced members of staff other than those compiling the return?
- Is a summary report of the data submitted to HESA presented to the HEP's senior management team (e.g. numbers of students by mode and level and/or course and subject with comparisons to prior years and/or other returns)?
- Are the Medr confirmation and verification reports checked against data submitted to HESA to ensure that the Medr reports are accurate according to Medr criteria?
- Where, in addition to their directly funded provision, the FEI franchises provision in, are there controls in place to ensure that only the franchisor returns the provision to HESA?
- If the HEP has recently been formed from a merger are the data systems in place sufficiently integrated to enable the HEP to make a HESA student record return for the whole HEP?

National Measures

35. The systems and processes used to return data used in the monitoring of National Measures for 2017/18 and onwards, for HEIs, are within the scope of the audit for the following set of measures:

- Widening access;
- Participation;
- Retention;
- Part-time;
- Welsh medium;
- Student mobility;
- Continuing Professional Development;
- Total HE-BCI income per full-time equivalent (FTE) of academic staff;
- Spin off activity;

- Start - up activity (graduate);
- Research Staff;
- PGR students;
- PhDs awarded;
- Research income;
- EU/Overseas students;
- EU/Overseas staff;
- Transnational Education.

36. A subset of the National Measures are included in the scope of the audit for FEIs:

- Widening Access;
- Participation;
- Retention;
- Part-time;
- Welsh medium.

37. Both the participation and retention measures were monitored using data collected on the HESA student record, calculated and published by HESA as [UK performance indicators](#), however UK PIs ceased to be calculated in 2022. For the participation measure, HESA provided us with the data for 2021/22, and from 2022/23 onwards we have calculated it using our own methodology. While work continues to develop a new retention measure, currently we are unable to update this measure, so the latest data used to calculate it was for 2020/21, when UK PIs were last published..

38. The fields and criteria used to extract the data used in monitoring these measures are detailed in the Higher Education Data Requirements circular (Medr circular [Medr/2024/01](#)). Testing of systems and processes used to return data that are used in funding will cover most of the testing appropriate for HESA data used in monitoring National Measures. In any testing of the HESA student record, auditors should take note of the guidance in previous paragraphs relating to the 2024/25 HESA student record, particularly in paragraphs 13 and 14. In addition to the points in paragraph 34, testing should aim to answer the following questions:

HESA student record:

- Do the controls include quality checks on individualised data prior to submission to HESA, in particular for data fields used in monitoring (e.g. checks that the student's mobility experience data is correct)?
- Is there evidence that for National Measures data extracts contained in the IRIS output produced by the HESA data returns system after committing data, is scrutinised, and that any resulting issues are addressed?

HESA Higher Education Business and Community Interaction (HEBCI) survey:

- Are HEBCI survey definitions and guidelines utilised and adhered to?
- Are validation and credibility checks carried out before returning data (e.g. comparisons with previous year's data)?
- Are the methods and processes used to collate and extract data documented?

- Is there an adequate audit trail to confirm that data extraction methods are being applied as documented?
- Are the staff resources available, taking into consideration experience and expertise, adequate to ensure that the data returns are accurately prepared?
- Is the documentation of the systems and processes and the staff resource sufficient to ensure that data returns could be prepared even in the absence of some key staff?
- Is there a risk register in place and are the risks relating to the compilation of data returns, and related controls to manage these risks, adequately assessed and documented together with details of planned action to be taken, where relevant, to strengthen the existing controls?
- Are returns scrutinised before submission by suitably experienced members of staff other than those compiling the return?
- Is a summary report of the data returned presented to the HEP's senior management team (e.g. the items of data used in National Measures with comparisons to prior years and/or other returns)?
- Is there a suitable process in place to ensure that staff who provide information (e.g. in departments) and staff compiling the return liaise as necessary to ensure that the most up to date information available relating to the survey period is included in the return?
- Are processes used to calculate estimates reasonable and documented, and is their reliability tested?
- If the HEP has recently been formed from a merger are the systems in place sufficiently integrated to enable the HEP to make a HEBCI survey return for the whole HEP?
- Do the controls include a reconciliation of the total amount of income recorded on the HE-BCI survey from collaborative research, consultancy, contract research, continuing professional development, facilities and equipment related services, intellectual property and regeneration and development returned with the audited accounts to ensure consistency?

HESA finance record:

- Are definitions and guidelines utilised and adhered to?
- Are validation and credibility checks carried out before returning data (e.g. comparisons with previous year's data)?
- Are the methods and processes used to collate and extract data documented?
- Is there an adequate audit trail to confirm that data extraction methods are being applied as documented?
- Is a copy kept of the final data submitted?
- Are the staff resources available, taking into consideration experience and expertise, adequate to ensure that the data returns are accurately prepared?
- Is the documentation of the systems and processes and the staff resource sufficient to ensure that data returns could be prepared even in the absence of some key staff?
- Is there a risk register in place and are the risks relating to the compilation of data returns, and related controls to manage these risks, adequately

- assessed and documented together with details of planned action to be taken, where relevant, to strengthen the existing controls?
- Are returns scrutinised before submission by suitably experienced members of staff other than those compiling the return?
- Is a summary report of the data returned presented to the HEP's senior management team (e.g. the items of data used in National Measures with comparisons to prior years and/or other returns)?
- Is there a suitable process in place to ensure that staff who provide information (e.g. in departments) and staff compiling the return liaise as necessary to ensure that the most up to date information available relating to the survey period is included in the return?
- Do controls include a reconciliation of the returned Research income values with the audited accounts to ensure consistency?

HESA Staff record

- Are quality checks carried out on individualised data for data fields used in National Measures (e.g. nationality, academic employment function)?
- Where errors were identified in prior returns, by Medr, HESA or the HEP, through audit or otherwise, have processes been implemented to address these data errors?
- Where errors have previously been identified in data used in National Measures, are the data checked prior to final submission of data to HESA to confirm that the error has not reoccurred?

HESA Aggregate Offshore Record

- Are quality checks carried out on headcount data used in the Transnational Education National Measure?

PGR and QR Funding

- More information about the funding methodology for both the PGR training funding allocation and the QR funding allocation, which were revised in 2022/23, can be found in HEFCW circular [W22/24HE](#).
- PGR training funding for 2025/26 was allocated using data about eligible, fundable student FTEs in REF 2021 units of assessment (UoAs) which qualified for QR funding taken from the 2023/24 HESA student record. Students eligible to be included in the calculation of PGR funding are those in REF 2021 units of assessment (UoAs) that were included in the QR funding model for 2022/23.
- The fields and criteria used to extract the data from the record for 2025/26 funding are detailed in the Higher Education Data Requirements circular [Medr/2024/01](#). In any testing of the HESA student record, auditors should take note of the guidance in previous paragraphs relating to the 2024/25 HESA student record, particularly in paragraphs 13 and 14. In addition to the points in paragraph 29, testing should aim to answer the following questions:

HESA student record:

- Are quality checks carried out on individualised data for data fields used in calculating PGR funding (e.g. fundability status is correct; UoA is correct; student FTE is correct; postcode and domicile are correct)?
- Are the Medr confirmation reports checked against data submitted to HESA to ensure the Medr reports are accurate according to Medr criteria?
- Where errors were identified in prior returns, by Medr, HESA or the HEP, through audit or otherwise, particularly those which led to reductions in PGR funding, have processes been implemented to address these data errors and to mitigate against errors in future returns?
- Where errors have previously been identified in PGR data, are the PGR data checked prior to final submission of data to HESA to confirm that the error has not reoccurred?

42. Following the implementation of the new funding methodology for QR funding allocations for 2022/23, all input data were frozen. Therefore data used to calculate 2025/26 QR funding remain the same as those used to calculate 2022/23 QR funding. Data used to calculate 2022/23 QR funding were taken from REF 2021, and from the 2018/19, 2019/20 and 2020/21 HESA finance record. The REF 2021 is not included in the scope of the audit.

43. Checks on the systems and processes used to return data relating to the student finance data from the particular years used in the QR funding allocation are included in the scope, only where they have not been included in previous audits and this is considered to be an area of risk. The questions these checks should aim to answer are outlined in the section above.

Research Wales Innovation Fund (RWIF)

44. This funding stream is calculated using data from the HE providers HESA HEBCI survey and from their HESA staff, student and finance records.

45. The details of this process can be found in HEFCW circular [W23/12HE](#) and the allocations for 2025/26 are outlined in Medr publication [Medr/2025/06](#). Testing should aim to answer the following questions (in addition to those listed for other funding streams above):

HESA student record (Open University in Wales only):

- Do the controls include quality checks on data prior to submission, in particular for the data fields used for RWIF (e.g. that student FTE is returned correctly)?

HESA Higher Education Business and Community Interaction (HEBCI) survey:

- See the HEBCI questions in paragraph 38
- Do the HEBCI values signed off during the RWIF verification frequently differ from those values submitted to HESA?

HESA finance record:

- See the HESA finance record questions in paragraph 38

HESA Staff record

- Are quality checks carried out on data for data fields used in this return (e.g. that academic Staff FTE is returned correctly)?

Data returned on fee and access plans and fee and access plan monitoring returns

46. Fee and Access Plans covering two years were submitted in 2024. The approved plans covered the 2025/26 and 2026/27 academic years.
47. Fee and Access Plans were returned in line with guidance included in HEFCW circular [W24/07HE Fee and Access Plan guidance](#). Data required for HEI submissions were limited to total numbers of students forecasted for study at each of the institutions' location of study. Detailed guidance for this can be found in paragraphs 157 to 165 in HEFCW circular [W22/19HE](#). In addition to this, FEIs were required to submit information on total fee income to be received and financial information. Guidance for this can be found in [W22/19HE](#) in paragraphs 155-156 and 166-173 respectively.
48. Institutions were invited to provide applications for Fee and Access Plan variations in March 2024 further to an increase in tuition fee limits made by Welsh Government in February. As part of that process, institutions were required to submit a tracked change version of their original Plan, alongside a variation request form. In submitting the variation, governing bodies of those institutions were confirming that they:
 - i) were compliant with CMA requirements and have taken appropriate legal advice;
 - ii) had consulted students on the variation;
 - iii) involved student representatives in the approval process;
 - iv) would continue to invest their agreed proportion of tuition fee income with no reduction to the proportion of investment to promote equality of opportunity; and
 - v) had involved partner providers where fee levels are being varied at courses delivered under franchise arrangements.

Seven variations were approved by HEFCW in July 2024, to enable those institutions to amend their Fee and Access Plans. Upon receiving this approval, institutions were instructed to publish the revised version of their plan appropriately on their website.

49. Fee and Access Plan monitoring is incorporated into the annual assurance return process. Institutions' governing bodies are required to sign off the following statements in relation to Fee and Access Plans:

- No regulated course fees have exceeded the applicable fee limits, as set out in the 2024/25 Fee and Access Plans.
- The institution has assurances in relation to the management of the provision of fee information across all recognised sources of the institution's marketing.
- The institution has taken all reasonable steps to comply with the general requirements of the 2024/25 Fee and Access Plans.
- The institution to provide documentation to support Fee and Access Plan sign off.
- The institution has taken all reasonable steps to maintain previous levels of investment, including maintaining:
 - the splits between investment to support equality of opportunity and promoting higher education,
 - investment to support the Reaching Wider partnership and student support investment.

50. The documentation produced internally that enables the governing body to sign off its annual assurance statement must be submitted alongside the annual assurance return. These documents enable us to understand the basis on which the governing body was able to sign off the Fee and Access Plan related statements of the annual assurance return. In addition to this, we also require documentation to be submitted to evidence how institutions evaluate the effectiveness of investment to deliver on Fee and Access Plan objectives. Auditors should familiarise themselves with the data required to enable the governing body to sign off this part of the statement and to inform the evaluation of the effectiveness of the Fee and Access Plan. Guidance to inform institutions is provided at Annex D.

Other HESA data

51. Other HESA data not covered in the previous paragraphs that are also under the scope of the audit include data returned on the HESA finance record, aggregate offshore record, Estates Management record, HEBCI survey and data returned on the HESA Discover Uni record.

52. Testing of systems and processes used to return data that are used in National Measures and RWIF funding (see relevant sections above) will cover most of the testing appropriate for HESA HEBCI survey data and HESA finance record data.

53. The Discover Uni dataset contains information about courses. Included in the scope of an audit of Discover Uni data are course related data and accommodation cost data. Testing should aim to answer the following questions:

- Have eligible courses been returned on the Discover Uni dataset and are the data for those courses accurate?
- Where data have been estimated, have estimates been made on a reasonable basis and documented?

54. The following funding streams were also allocated:

- Higher Education Research Capital (HERC) Funding 2025-26 ([Medr/2025/16](#))
- Capital Funding 2025-26 ([Medr/2025/18](#))

The audit of systems and processes used in other funding streams is sufficient to also provide assurance for the funding streams listed in this paragraph.

HESA Data Futures Programme

55. Data Futures is Jisc's transformation programme for collecting student data, and was implemented for the 2022/23 HESA student record collection.
56. The collections from 2022/23 to 2024/25 were annual collections using the Data Futures data model. The 2025/26 collection will continue to be an annual collection.
57. Auditors should familiarise themselves with the programme and the requirements for the new record from 2022/23 and into 2024/25. We recommend that any review of the 2024/25 HESA student record should follow the guidance as described in paragraphs 9 to 14, given the continuing difficulties that some providers encountered in returning the record. We would expect auditors to provide opinions on the controls in place to manage risks relating to the record going forward including plans to review and/or improve processes, documentation and data quality using lessons learnt from the return of 2022/23, 2023/24 and 2024/25 data, moving into the 2025/26 return, even if those processes or plans are not yet in place.
58. Testing should aim to answer the following questions:
 - Did the HEP have sufficient resource, in terms of both finance and suitably skilled staff in making the 2024/25 return?
 - Were senior management aware of any issues that their provider encountered for the 2024/25 return?
 - Is there a plan in place to review any data quality issues, targets set resulting from IMS queries, or to put in place any lessons learnt from returns between 2022/23 and 2024/25, to improve future returns?

Interpretation and Guidance

59. Auditors should familiarise themselves with the latest, at the time of audit, HESES, EYM, HESA guidance (including for the HEBCI survey and finance record), data requirements circular and where available, the fee and access plan process and guidance. Some of the publications may be updated after publication of this publication and auditors should pay particular attention to any changes made to the data collected that imply changes to the way in which systems and processes work and assess whether HEPs have made or intend to make appropriate adjustments.
60. Any further clarification relating to the guidance for making HESES, EYM, HESA returns or extracting EYM data from the HESA student record via the IRIS system or relating to fee and access plan guidance can be obtained from Medr via hestats@medr.cymru.

Open University in Wales

61. Medr has responsibility for some funding relating to teaching and RWIF at the Open University (OU) in Wales. Teaching and RWIF funding allocated to the OU in Wales is calculated using the same funding methodology as other HEIs. As in previous years the systems and processes used to compile data returns to HESA and Medr that are used in the calculation of teaching and RWIF funding are included in the scope of the internal audit. In addition, the OU in Wales is included in the National Measures and so the systems and processes used for monitoring these are included in the scope of the audit. The OU in Wales does not currently receive PGR or QR funding from Medr and as the OU are not a Medr regulated institution, do not submit a fee and access plan.

Reporting

62. The annual internal audit plan should include a review of the controls in place to manage the risks relating to the submission of accurate data returns and where appropriate, data returned in and used to monitor the FAPs.

63. This review should include an assessment of the adequacy of the controls documented in paragraphs 29 to 58 above as relevant. However, the precise scope of the internal audit work completed will be determined by each HEP's assessment of the risks relating to their HEP's data return and it is expected that the internal audit work will focus on the higher risk aspects of the systems and processes, for example, issues identified in previous audits, or aspects not covered in previous audits. It is expected that the scope would address any data issues or errors found by the HEP or HEFCW/Medr in terms of processes in place to correct the errors and to mitigate against any future errors. In assessing the risks, we would expect the HESA student record return for 2024/25 to be an area of risk, however, providers should take account of the guidance provided in paragraphs 9 to 14 in relation to the 2024/25 record when determining the scope of the audit work.

64. The timing of the internal audit work should be arranged so that the internal audit report can be completed and presented to the HEP's Audit Committee before a copy of the report is sent by the HEP to Medr by **10 July 2026**.

65. Where the Audit Committee's internal audit plan includes only very limited work in relation to data systems and processes, because there is perceived to be low risk in this area, an institutional representative should contact Medr to inform us why this area is considered low risk and how annual assurance can be obtained in these circumstances. The representative should contact Medr at the point that their Audit Committee finalises their audit plan if this is the case. Similarly, if there are any changes to the cyclical nature of the plan or timing of committees that mean that an audit report will not be available by the deadline of **10 July 2026**, a representative should contact Medr to discuss.

66. The internal audit report should include:

- A description of the objectives of the audit and the risks and controls included within the scope of the audit;

- Details of the audit work completed;
- Details of issues identified during the audit and the recommendations made to address these;
- Details of processes put in place to correct the errors and to mitigate against any future errors of any data issues or errors found by the HEP or HEFCW/Medr;
- A consideration of the recommendations made in previous audit reports and the extent to which these have been effectively implemented;
- Management's responses to the report's recommendations and the agreed timescales for their implementation;
- Details of any disagreements or recommendations which were not accepted by management;
- A clear conclusion and overall opinion as to the adequacy and effectiveness of the controls in place to manage the risks relating to the accuracy of the data returns included within the scope of the audit.

67. If the internal audit report's overall conclusion, or the conclusions relating to the adequacy of the design of the system of control and the application of those controls, provides a negative opinion (e.g. limited or no assurance, unsatisfactory or inadequate controls) details of the significant exceptions giving rise to this opinion should be provided in the report. In these circumstances the HEP's Audit Committee and Medr should be informed of the relevant issues as soon as possible.

68. The HEP's Audit Committee should include reference in its annual report to the reports and assurances that it has received during the year in respect of the controls in place to manage the quality of data returns made by the HEP for funding or monitoring purposes and the controls relating to data returned in and used to monitor the fee and access plans.

69. An electronic copy of the audit report and any associated correspondence should be sent **by the HEP** to hestats@medr.cymru no later than **10 July 2026**. Note that we do not require a paper copy to be sent to us.

70. Details of the internal audit work and reports completed since the last external audit of higher education data should be retained and if required be made available to any external auditors as advised by Medr. The assurance team in Medr may also wish to review these reports and related papers during their periodic visits to the HEP.

Further Information

71. Further guidance and information is available from Rachael Clifford or Hannah Falvey (hestats@medr.cymru).

Medr Recurrent Funding

The methodology for extracting the data described below is available in more detail in the data requirements circulars (see paragraphs 18 to 19 of the main part of this publication).

Funding for Teaching 2025/26

- 1 For PT UG provision, credit-based teaching funding is allocated. Also allocated for PT UG provision are per capita payments and premiums for access and retention and Welsh medium. For FT UG and PGCE provision, funding is allocated through per capita payments, the expensive subjects premium, the higher cost subjects premium and the Welsh medium premium. For FT and PT postgraduate taught (PGT) provision, funding is allocated through the per capita payments. In addition, the disability premium is allocated for all modes of attendance and levels of study, including postgraduate research. These funding allocations are described in more detail below.
- 2 The funded credit-based method for PT UG provision is based on a standard Unit of Funding (UoF) for each subject area. The funding is allocated by means of a formula. Funded credit values associated with core funding are based on the number of fundable credit values after adjustment for non-completions, taken from the latest available end of year data, and the number of funded credit values for the previous year. Core numbers in each HEP are maximised by making adjustments as far as possible in line with the pattern of enrolment in the end of year data. For 2025/26 funding calculations, 2023/24 EYM data extracted through the IRIS process was used. In order to calculate UoFs, the UoFs for the previous year are adjusted by an efficiency gain and increased by GDP, subject to availability of funding.
- 3 Medr made two other types of payments for UG provision through per capita and premium payments. PGT provision receives per capita payments and disability premium. All are based on the numbers of enrolments or credits achieved the previous year. Details of criteria for inclusion are given in Annex B.
- 4 Per capita payments recognise the fixed costs attached to all students, those of enrolment, records etc. An amount per undergraduate and postgraduate taught enrolment is made, subject to a minimum study requirement of 10 credit values.
- 5 Premium payments based on HESA data operate in five areas: access and retention, disability, Welsh medium provision, expensive subjects and higher cost subjects. Different types of provision are included in the calculation of each, described in the paragraphs below.
- 6 In 2025/26, the access and retention premium was an amount per PT UG enrolment for students from low participation areas plus an amount per undergraduate enrolment for students whose home postcode mapped to quintiles 1 or 2 of the Welsh Index of Multiple Deprivation (WIMD) 2019, subject to a minimum 10 credit value study requirement. The amount per enrolment depended on whether the HEP

has successfully retained the student and the proportion of eligible PT UG Welsh domiciles at the HEP who are from WIMD areas.

- 7 The disability premium is an amount per enrolment for students in receipt of DSA, subject to a minimum 10 credit value study requirement. Disability premium payments are made for all modes and levels of study. The Welsh medium premium is a weighting on the funding attracted by modules undertaken through the medium of Welsh and is allocated in respect of all PT UG provision and select FT UG provision (where the provision meets criteria to be included in the expensive subjects premium or higher cost subjects premium).
- 8 The expensive subjects premium is allocated using data relating to FT UG students only. The premium is an amount per completed credit and is allocated using the number of completed credits in clinical medicine/dentistry and performance element provision at the Royal Welsh College of Music and Drama.
- 9 The higher cost subjects premium is allocated using data relating to FT UG students only taken from the EYM extraction. The premium is an amount per completed credit and is allocated using the number of completed credits in non-clinical medicine/dentistry, science & engineering and technology and mathematical sciences, and IT and computing subjects, taken from the EYM output.

Funding for Postgraduate Research Training 2025/26

- 10 2025/26 PGR training funding was calculated using the HEP's confirmed postgraduate research student FTE figures from 2023/24. Grants to HEPs are calculated pro rata to subject weighted postgraduate research student FTEs. Qualifying FTEs are those in UoAs submitted to REF 2021 where the UoA has 3 or more classified FTE Category A staff and meets a combined quality and volume threshold, i.e. those eligible for QR funding in 2022/23.

Funding for Research 2025/26

- 11 QR funding is allocated to HEPs with UoAs submitted to REF 2021 where the UoA has 3 or more classified FTE Category A staff and meets a combined volume and quality threshold. Funding for 2025/26 was based on data taken from REF 2021 and the 2018/19, 2019/20 and 2020/21 HESA finance records, the same as for 2022/23, 2023/24 and 2024/25 funding, as input data were frozen in 2022/23.
- 12 QR funding has two elements: a main allocation and a charity income allocation. The main allocation is allocated by apportioning the available funding in proportion to research volume weighted for quality and subject. Previously calculated relativities between research costs are used for different subject areas. The charity income element is allocated pro rata to the average charity income awarded through open, competitive processes. Total funding is calculated as the sum of the two elements, further details can be found under the heading "Research funding" on our [Data and analysis](#) web page.

Eligibility Criteria for HESA based data used in funding

For all student based data, students should be active within the reporting period (1 August to 31 July) and not incoming exchange. In addition, students should be Medr fundable. The methodology for extracting the data described below is available in more detail in Medr publication [Medr/2024/01](#) Higher Education Data Requirements, (also see paragraphs 18 to 19 of the main part of this publication).

Per capita funding criteria for inclusion

- Student is studying at least 10 credit values.
- Student is studying on a full-time or part-time course.
- Student is studying at undergraduate or postgraduate taught level.
- Each student is counted only once irrespective of the number of courses the student is studying.

Access and retention premium criteria for inclusion

- Student's home postcode is in a low participation area and/or WIMD19 quintile 1 or 2.
- Student studying at least 10 credit values.
- Student is studying on a part-time course.
- Student studying at undergraduate level.
- Each student is counted only once irrespective of the number of courses the student is studying.

Disability premium criteria for inclusion

- Student is in receipt of DSA.
- Student studying at least 10 credit values, or 8.3% FTE for postgraduate research students.
- Student is studying on a full-time or part-time course.
- Student is studying at undergraduate, postgraduate taught or postgraduate research level.
- Each student is counted only once irrespective of the number of courses the student is studying.

Welsh medium premium criteria for inclusion

- Student studying on a part-time course at undergraduate level or studying a full-time course at undergraduate level which includes credits that qualify for the expensive subjects premium or higher cost subjects premium.
- Student studying at least 2 credits of a module through the medium of Welsh.
- Module is started in the academic year and returned as countable.
- Student not studying on a Welsh language or literature module. (unless the module is part of an Initial Teacher Education course leading to QTS).

Expensive subjects premium criteria for inclusion

- Student is studying on a full-time or sandwich year out course.
- Student is studying at undergraduate level.
- Each student is counted only once irrespective of the number of courses the student is studying.
- Module is started in the academic year and returned as countable.
- Module is completed.
- Duplicate modules are excluded.
- Credits are categorised by subject, where subject is in clinical medicine/dentistry or performance element provision at RWCMD.
- All years of study are included.

Higher cost subjects premium

- Uses total assumed completed credit values from Tables 1a and 1b of the EYM return.
- Full-time and sandwich year out students.
- Sandwich year out credits are counted as half.
- Credits in the following ASCs are included in the allocation:
 - Non-clinical medicine and dentistry: ASCs 1a, 1c
 - Science and Engineering and Technology: ASCs 3, 4
 - Mathematical Sciences, Engineering, IT and Computing: ASC 6

Postgraduate research training funding criteria for inclusion

- Studying for a postgraduate research qualification.
- Student FTEs must be in UoAs submitted to REF 2021 where the UoA is included in QR funding eligibility calculations.
- Excluding students who are writing up for the entire academic year

Race equality funding and Well-being and health funding criteria for inclusion

- Students counted within the HESA standard registration population (no fundability criteria is applied);
- Students studying on all modes, levels and domiciles;
- Each student is counted only once irrespective of the number of courses the student is studying.

Targeted employability support funding criteria for inclusion

- Students counted within the HESA standard registration population;
- Students studying on all modes, levels and domiciles;
- Students studying on initial teacher education (ITE) courses are excluded;
- Students studying at greater than 50% FTE;
- Student's are further categorised as 'Widening Access' if meeting one of the following criteria:
 - have a disability, or
 - from a Black, Asian or minority ethnic group (UK-domiciled only), or
 - are a carer, or

- are a care leaver, or
- are from an area of deprivation (Welsh-domiciled undergraduates only), or
- are from an area of low HE participation (UK-domiciled undergraduates only), or
- have parents with no HE qualifications (UK domiciled undergraduates only).
- Each student is counted only once irrespective of the number of courses the student is studying or Widening Access criteria the student meets.

QR funding

HESA Finance record

- Charity income (awarded through open competitive process), columns 2 (UK-based charities), 9 (EU based charities) and 12 (Non-EU-based charities) of HESA Finance record Table 4. Applicable only to the finance record data for academic years 2018/19, 2019/20 and 2020/21 as data used in QR funding were frozen upon introduction of the latest QR funding methodology in 2022/23, as outlined in paragraph 42.

Research Wales Innovation Fund (RWIF)

Funding is allocated based on a model utilising the following data:

HESA Staff record

- Academic Staff FTE (excluding atypical contracts)

HESA Student record (for Open University in Wales only)

- Student FTE

HESA HEBCI data

- Collaborative Research: Total Income
- Contract Research: Total Income
- Consultancy Contracts: Total Income
- CPD Courses and CE: Total Revenue
- Facilities and Equipment Related Services: Total Income
- CPD Courses and CE: Total Learner Days
- Regeneration and Development: Total Income (excl. Capital income)
- Intellectual Property: Total revenue (incl. sales of shares in spin-offs)
- Spin-offs (with some HEP ownership and those not HEP owned): Number still active which have survived 3 years
- Student Start-ups: Number still active which have survived at least 3 years

Eligibility criteria for data used in National Measures

For all student based data used for monitoring (excluding participation, retention, PGR students and PhDs awarded), students should be active within the reporting period, not dormant, sabbatical or writing up, not primarily studying outside the UK, not leaving within two weeks of their start date or anniversary of their start date and on a course of more than two weeks duration and for all but the overseas indicator, not incoming exchange (HESA standard registration population).

Data used in monitoring the National Measures in the scope of the audit are taken from HESA student record, staff record, finance record, aggregate offshore record and HEBCI survey returns for HEIs. HESA student record data are also used for FEIs. The methodology for extracting the data described below is available in more detail in the data requirements circulars. Students, staff and/or provision, HEBCI data and income data at HEIs are used in the monitoring of the indicators based on the following criteria:

Widening access – ‘The number and proportion of undergraduate Welsh domiciled students of all ages studying higher education courses at HEIs and FEIs in Wales who are domiciled in

- a) the bottom two quintiles
- b) the bottom quintile

of Lower Super Output Areas in the Welsh Index of Multiple Deprivation 2014 (WIMD).’

- Student’s postcode is a valid postcode mappable to a LSOA in Wales.
- To be counted in the numerator, the student’s postcode is in the bottom quintile, or the bottom two quintiles of LSOAs in the WIMD.

Participation – ‘The number and proportion of all UK domiciled students of all ages studying higher education courses at HEIs and FEIs in Wales who are from UK low participation areas.’

For 2022/23 data onwards:

- Full-time undergraduate or part-time first degree, UK domiciled entrants counted in the HESA session population, starting between 12 June YY and 11 June YY+1 and not leaving with 50 days of commencing
- Young or mature is determined by the student’s age on 30 September in the academic year the student started
- Qualifications on entry are used to determine if mature or part-time entrants have previous HE experience
- Low participation areas are those in the 20% of areas that have the lowest young participation in HE according to POLAR4 identified using the EntryProfile.PERMADDPOSTCODE field on the HESA student record, and additionally for mature full-time and part-time students, students have no previous experience of HE

where for example in 2022/23, YY=2022 and YY+1=2023

- For 2021/22 data and earlier: Data are taken from the HESA UK experimental performance indicators, Tables 1b, 2a, and 2b, which are derived from data collected on the HESA student record.

Retention – ‘The proportion of full-time undergraduate students in HEIs and FEIs in Wales present in higher education one year following year of entry for

- a) UK domiciled students;
- b) students domiciled in the bottom two quintiles of WIMD

plus, the proportion of part-time first degree students in HEIs and FEIs in Wales present in higher education two years following year of entry, for

- c) UK domiciled students;
- d) students domiciled in the bottom two quintiles of WIMD.’

- Data are taken from the HESA UK performance indicators, Table 3, for HEIs and from the HESA UK experimental performance indicators, Table 3, for FEIs which are derived from data collected on the HESA student record.
- Measure (a) relates to full-time undergraduate UK domiciled entrants and measure (b) relates to full-time undergraduate entrants domiciled in the bottom two quintiles of WIMD. Measure (c) relates to part-time first degree UK domiciled entrants and measure (d) relates to part-time first degree entrants domiciled in the bottom two quintiles of WIMD.

Part-time – a) ‘The number and proportion of students attending higher education courses in Welsh HEIs and FEIs that are part-time’

- b) ‘plus the percentage change year on year in the number of these part-time students compared to the equivalent figure for the UK (sector measure)’

- The student is part-time.

Welsh medium – ‘The number of students studying higher education courses at HEIs and FEIs in Wales undertaking

- a) at least 5 credits
- b) at least 40 credits

of their course through the medium of Welsh, per annum.’

For 2022/23 onwards:

For PGR programmes only:

- the student course session is delivered through the medium of Welsh
- and the proportion of the delivery through the medium of Welsh is greater than zero
- credits are estimated as the student load for the student course session multiplied by 180 and divided by 100

for PGR programmes with modules and not included above, plus all other provision

- The module is started in the academic year.
- Students taking some element through the medium of Welsh identified where at least one module has a greater than zero percentage through the Welsh language.

Then, for all levels:

- Credits through the medium of Welsh are counted as the credit points for the module or the estimated credit points, multiplied by the percentage through Welsh.

Prior to 2022/23:

- The module is started in the academic year.
- Students taking some element through the medium of Welsh identified where at least one module has a greater than zero percentage through the Welsh language.
- Credits through the medium of Welsh are counted as the credit points for the module or the estimated credit points, multiplied by the percentage through Welsh.

Student mobility – ‘The number and percentage of undergraduate students at Welsh HEIs taking up study, work and volunteering experiences abroad, for

- a) all undergraduate students
- b) undergraduate students domiciled in the bottom two quintiles of WIMD’
- Student is on a mobility experience
- To be counted in the numerator of measure (b), the student’s postcode is in the bottom two quintiles of LSOAs in the WIMD.

Continuing professional development – ‘The total number of learner days delivered by Welsh HEIs for continuing professional development and continuing education, recorded on the HE-BCI survey.’

- The total number of learner days of CPD/Continuing Education courses being delivered taken from part B, Table 2, item 3f of the HESA HE-BCI survey.

Total HE-BCI income per FTE of Academic Staff – ‘The total amount of income recorded on the HE-BCI survey from collaborative research, consultancy, contract research, continuing professional development, facilities and equipment related services, intellectual property and regeneration and development, divided by FTE of academic staff.’

- Staff contracts that are active during the academic year excluding atypical contracts
- Academic contracts

- HEBCI data for the total amount of income in relation to the following areas are taken from the tables indicated below in part B of the HE-BCI survey and added together:

	Table	Item
Collaborative research	1	1e
Contract research	1	2h
Consultancy	2	1h
Facilities and equipment related services	2	2h
Continuing professional development	2	3e
Regeneration and development	3	1f
Intellectual property	4	3f

Spin off activity – ‘New spin-offs and spin-offs still active which have survived at least three years.’

- Data are taken from part B of the HE-BCI survey, Table 4, sub-heading 4a, items i and ii and added together.

Start-up activity (graduate) – ‘New start-ups and start-ups still active which have survived at least three years.’

- Data are taken from part B of the HE-BCI survey, table 4, sub-heading 4a, item iv.

Research Staff – ‘The number of

- a) all researchers
- b) STEMM researchers
- c) non-STEMM researchers’

- Staff contracts that are active during the academic year excluding atypical contracts
- Academic contracts
- Academic employment function of research or research and teaching
- STEMM includes Science, technology, engineering, mathematics and medicine and dentistry cost centres

PGR students – ‘The total number of all PGR students (FTE).’

- Student active within the reporting period, not dormant, not sabbatical, not primarily studying outside the UK and not incoming exchange (HESA session population).
- Student studying postgraduate research qualification

PhDs awarded – ‘The total number of PhDs awarded.’

- Student awarded a PhD.

Research income – ‘The annual percentage change in income from

- a) Research in total; and
- b) Research Councils

compared to the comparable figure for the UK excluding the ‘golden triangle’ of Oxford, Cambridge and certain London institutions’

- The data are taken from column 1j) Total Research Council income and column 15) Total Research income, of Table 4 of the HESA Finance Record.
- Data for the Open University are available at UK level only for this measure and therefore Wales-based activity cannot be reported.

EU/overseas students – ‘The percentage annual change in the number of

- a) EU domiciled students (excluding UK)
- b) overseas students (excluding EU)

attending higher education courses in Welsh higher education institutions, plus the percentage annual change in the number of these students compared to the equivalent figure for UK higher education institutions (excluding London and the South East).’

- Student’s domicile is in the EU or overseas (including incoming exchange).
- The Channel Islands and Isle of Man are not counted as overseas for the purposes of this target.

EU/overseas staff – ‘The number and percentage of academic staff at Welsh higher education institutions that are

- a) EU nationals (excluding UK)
- b) Overseas nationals (excluding EU)

plus, the percentage annual change, and the percentage annual change compared to the equivalent figure for the UK.’

- Staff who have one or more contracts active on 1 December within the academic year
- Academic contracts
- Staff nationality is in the EU or overseas

Transnational Education (TNE) – ‘The number and percentage of students that are transnational education students at Welsh higher education institutions.’

- Students who are registered with or studying for an award from UK universities but study overseas without coming to the UK.

Annex C

- Students who commence their studies outside the UK and subsequently come to continue their studies within the UK are include up until the point at which they enter the UK, when a full individualised record is required.

Documentation supplied to HEPs to support Fee and Access Plan sign-off

The following guidance was supplied by HEFCW to institutions to support their FAP sign off:

Institutions are required to submit documentation produced internally that enables the governing body to sign off its annual assurance statement. In addition to this, we also require documentation to be submitted to evidence how institutions evaluate the effectiveness of investment to deliver on Fee and Access Plan objectives. Furthermore, as many of the Fee and Access Plan objectives (and their associated deliverables) are ongoing commitments, this monitoring process represents an opportunity for institutions to reflect and update Medr on the delivery of those objectives, with reflections made on the most current data and achievements.

This evidence provides us with assurance that institutions are delivering on their regulatory duties and will inform our institutional engagement.

Set out below are our reflections on previous submissions. We expect institutions to take these reflections into account when making returns. Given the strategic aims of Medr and in the context of the transition to a new system of regulation for tertiary education in Wales, we will pay particular focus to the effectiveness of institutions' investment to deliver on Fee and Access Plan objectives related to:

- How the university is meeting and building on its Reaching Wider Statement of Intention, aligning with and supporting transition from Reaching Wider Partnership activity and supporting RW priority groups to and through higher education.
- Increasing the proportion of students studying through the medium of Welsh.
- Retention of students from under-represented groups.
- Improving the employability and career outcomes of students in Wales.

The features within most institutions' submissions which provide us with particular assurance have been:

- A clear summary of the institutions' findings.
- Detail of where in the governance structure the substantive documentation had been discussed.
- An overview of the process that provided assurance that fees were not charged in excess of published levels.
- An overview of the management of the provision of fee information across all recognised sources of the institution's marketing. For example, what controls are in place to ensure consistency between Fee and Access Plan information and the marketing of fee levels at both a phase/mode of study and at a course level.
- A table setting out the funding spent, providing assurance that the institution has taken all reasonable steps to maintain previous levels of investment.

- Where relevant, a summary of where spending changes were made to commitments, with a rationale.
- Clear illustrations of where data was highlighting trends that were positive or negative: they were in the context of Fee or Access plans targets, where relevant, or National Measures e.g. using Red, Amber and Green ratings.
- Highlighting explicitly to the governing body where the institution has identified activities that are effectively delivering Fee and Access Plan objectives as well as where there were ineffective activities or challenges.

We expect all institutions to continue to return information with these areas clearly set out.

We have also found the following particularly helpful in some returns, providing further assurance and a better understanding of how institutions were assessing their work:

- An index of the records of internal documentation that informed the documentation provided to the Council. This has enabled us to request further information to understand a particular issue in further detail.
- Evaluation matrices and summaries of evaluation.
- Reporting on the piloting of new activities and processes that deliver on the Fee and Access Plan objectives.
- In order to improve returns, we expect institutions to evidence more clearly in their documentation:
 - The actions an institution has identified to improve the effectiveness of its Fee and Access Plan investment. Currently, where institutions have identified areas for improvement or challenges, they are not highlighting what they are going to do or change as a result.
 - Case studies where effective practice has been identified in institutions.
 - How equality impact assessments are being used to inform the delivery of objectives to promote equality of opportunity.
 - How collaborative working within Reaching Wider Partnerships is contributing to Fee and Access Plan objectives.

Recommendations from previous audits

The following provides a summary of the recommendations made on the internal audit reports submitted to Medr in June 2025.

Where a recommendation relates to more than one stream of data, the recommendation has been included under all relevant streams. The same or similar recommendation made at more than one HEP is included only once. Findings for past audits can be found in previous versions of these notes.

HESA data futures and student data returns

- Review resources required and available for future submissions of HESA Data Futures student data and the Aggregate Offshore Record be undertaken to ensure that sufficient skills and staff are in position and that resilience will be in place going forward when the expected changes are implemented.
- Consider resilience in teams to enable returns to be completed if staff levels change.
- A review be undertaken to ensure that data is the best quality on the student records system in order to allow for fewer anomalies in all returns.
- Procedure documents for the HESA Data Futures student returns be created to support the resilience of the process and to capture where changes in business processes are identified and agreed.
- Executive Board to monitor progress of the Data Futures student data project.
- Any impact on the Medr statutory funding data returns be considered during any reviews and evaluations.
- Development of a portal for direct upload of base student records by transnational education (TNE) partners. TNE partner students to be given access to update their records.

Staff record

- Procedures for the completion of the Staff Record be developed.
- HR department to input the required workforce data which informs the HESA Staff Record into the staff information system at the point of creating a position.
- Processes be established to increase the rate of completion of the HESA page staff need to update on their record, for example regular automatic email reminders until completion and line manager follow up.

Fee and Access Plan

- Reports should be produced to provide assurances that students have not overpaid or been overcharged to support the assurances provided in the FAP monitoring report annually.

- A system for identifying and checking that students that have an interruption of study or course transfer are charged the correct fee be developed.
- Ownership for ensuring that fees are correctly entered into the student record system should be assigned and evidence maintained that such checks have been conducted.
- A risk register should be developed for the FAP and the FAP Annual Monitoring report.

General/cross-cutting

- Ensure sufficiently detailed and up to date standard operating procedures are in place for all returns.
- Importance of version control, roles and responsibilities, processes for extracting data, checking and validating prior to submission with appropriate person signing off data.
- Ensure adequate audit trails are maintained, to include details of methodology for estimates and justifications for any manual amendments made, to include details of staff responsible for calculations and the person responsible for checking.
- All changes made to returns prior to submission should be agreed and approved by a suitably senior member of staff before they are submitted.
- Improved communication and consultation with staff responsible for inputting source data to highlight the importance of accurately recording information.
- Consideration be given to reviewing the systems used to compile returns and look for areas where greater automation could be achieved to increase efficiency and enhance the process.

Medr

Y Comisiwn Addysg Drydyddol ac Ymchwil
Commission for Tertiary Education and Research

www.medr.cymru

2 Cwr y Ddinas 2 Capital Quarter
Stryd Tyndall Tyndall Street
Caerdydd Cardiff
CF10 4BZ CF10 4BZ



Noddir gan
Lywodraeth Cymru
Sponsored by
Welsh Government